FILED

In re

2015 APR 15 A 10: 34

Bridget Murriel

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT E.D. MICHIGAN-DE TRUIT COURT

3324 Hogarth

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Detroit, MI 48206

SOUTHERN DIVISION

Creditor

Chapter 9

Hon. Thomas J. Tucker

Case No. 13-53846

United States Bankruptcy Court

Hon. Thomas J. Tucker

211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100

Detroit, MI 48226

OBJECTION TO THE CONSOLIDATED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105(A) AND 502(C) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 3021, FOR AN ORDER APPROVING RESERVE AMOUNTS FOR CERTAIN DSPUTED OR UNLIQUIDATED UNSECURED CLAIMS IN CONNECTION WITH DISTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF DEBTS OF THE CITY OF DETROIT. OBJECTION TO THE REVISED NOTICE OF THE ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S TWELFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS (LATE-FILED CLAIMS)

I, Bridget Murriel, object to the City of Detroit (the "City") hereby filing this consolidated reply in support of the Motion of the City of Detroit, Pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3021, for an Order Approving Reserve Amounts for Certain Disputed or Unliquidated Unsecured Claims in connection with distributions to be made under the Eighth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (Docket No. 9351) (the "Motion") capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Motion, and respectfully represents as in the Preliminary Statement. I, Bridget Murriel, object to the Preliminary Statement presented as follows:

 A total of 33 timely and untimely responses or objections to the Motion (collectively, the "Responses") were filed by the parties identified below (collectively, the "Respondents").
 A chart summarizing the Responses to the Motion and the City's arguments in reply to

- them is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. The City has attempted to communicate with each of the Respondents to the extent that a telephone number was provided in the relevant Response. As a result of contacting Respondents, the City has succeeded in resolving certain of the Responses, as set forth below.
- 2. In addition, the City received several informal communications regarding the relief requested in the Motion. In connection with two such informal communications, the City agreed to make modifications to (a) the Schedule of Liquidated Amounts and (b) the Schedule of Reclassification Claims, attached to the Motion as Exhibit 6.1 and Exhibit 6.5 and <
 - I, Bridget Murriel, object to the revised notice that the City, by and through its undersigned counsel, has filed an objection to certain late-filed claims (the "Twelfth Omnibus Objection"), and for an order disallowing and expunging such claims (YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED, MODIFIED OR ELIMINATED. PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P 3007(e) (1) AND PRIOR ORDERS OF THIS COURT. YOU SHOULD REVIEW EXHIBIT 2 OF THE TWELFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO FIND YOUR NAME AND CLAIM. Due to a substantial amount of documents to be reviewed pertaining to Case No. 13-53846 are the reason for a delayed response Hon. Thomas J. Tucker. I do not have an attorney to represent me. I submitted documents to the court according to the dates specified in the motion that was filed by the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City"). I, Bridget Murriel, hereby object to the twelfth omnibus objection to object proof of claims dated March 27, 2015 (the "Objection"), of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan, (the "City"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging certain late-filed claims, and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§§ 157 and 1334; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(B)(2): and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409, and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held before the Court; and any objections to the Objection having been overruled or withdrawn; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore; it is hereby ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED that:

I, Bridget Murriel, object to the following items listed below (1-6):

- 1. The Objection is granted as set forth herein.
- 2. All of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed hereto are hereby disallowed and expunged in their entirety, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 3. The City's claims agent is hereby authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
- 4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.
- 5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claims as addressed in the Objection and set forth in Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and shall not act to stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested maters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.
- 6. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.

Bridget Merrief /bm/Bridget Murriel

REVISED SCHEDULE OF WHOLLY UNLIQUIDATED LITIGATION CLAIMS SUBJECT TO TREATMENT IN CLASS 14 UNDER THE PLAN

Claim	
Number	Claimant
2259	Armstrong, Alexis
1728	Cannon, Vincent via his attorneys, Gursten, Koltonow, Gursten, Christensen & Raitt, P.C.
2273	Chambers, Theotrice
124	Charter Township of Plymouth
2833	Class Claimants
2994	Darrah, Lucinda J.
3486	Delfon Fire Floring Alexaciation, IAFE Jocal 344
3493	Detroit Fire Fighture Association: IAFE Local 344
3681	Figure 1 Inc. 1 Inches Annochtion 14 Ft 1 oct 1844
	Doe, K.S.
	Fountain Court Consumer Housing Cooperative
	Johnson-woods, Linda
	Joliet Town Houses Cooperative Association
2490	Jones, Valine Birnif Jones, Arnaz
1831	Kelly, Toyla Personal Rep of Estate of Kevin Ivie
2863	Lafayette Town Houses, Inc.
	Lasalle Town Houses Cooperative Association
	McCormick, Letha
	Miller, Kevin
	Moore Jr., David
	Moore, Jerome
	Murriel, Bridget
	Murriel, Bridget
2828	Nicolet Town Houses Cooperative Association
	Roseman, Mark and Luzaler
	Soto, Daniel
	St. James Cooperative
	Stevenson, Kenyata
	Truelove, Omar
	Whitaker, Mary
1468	Williams Jr., Ronald

Dated: April 10, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Heather Lennox
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
hlennox@jonesday.com

Jeffrey B. Ellman (OH0055558, GA141828)
JONES DAY
1420 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3053
Telephone: (404) 581-3939
Facsimile: (404) 581-8330
jbellman@jonesday.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY